1	JAY R. HENNEBERRY (State Bar No. 13.	5065)
2	jhenneberry@chadbourne.com CHADBOURNE & PARKE LLP	
3	350 South Grand Avenue, 32nd Floor Los Angeles, California 90071	
4	Telephone: (213) 892-1000 Facsimile: (213) 892-2045	
5	Of Counsel Scott S. Balber	
6	sbalber@chadbourne.com Jonathan C. Cross	
	jcross@chadbourne.com CHADBOURNE & PARKE LLP	
	30 Rockefeller Plaza New York, New York 10112	
9	Telephone: (212) 408-5100 Facsimile: (212) 541-5369	
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterdefenda	nts
11 12	LFG NÅTIONAL CAPITAL, LLC, LAWF LFG SERVICING, LLC	TINANCE GROUP, INC., and
13		
14	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
15	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CAL	IFORNIA - WESTERN DIVISION
16		
17	LFG NATIONAL CAPITAL, LLC,	Case No. CV11-04538 PSG (PJWx)
18	Plaintiff, v.	[PROPOSED] ORDER RE: COUNTERDEFENDANTS LFG
19	GARY, WILLIAMS, FINNEY, LEWIS,	NATIONAL CAPITAL, LLC, LAWFINANCE GROUP, INC., AND LFG SERVICING, LLC'S MOTION TO
20	WATSON & SPERÁNDO, P.L., WILLÍE GARY, and LORENZO WILLIAMS,	DISMISS COUNTERCLAIMS PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV.
21	Defendants.	P. 12(b)(6)
22		Hearing Date: September 12, 2011
23	GARY, WILLIAMS, FINNEY, LEWIS, WATSON & SPERANDO, P.L.,	Time: 1:30 p.m. Judge: Honorable Philip S. Gutierrez
24	Counterclaimant,	Courtroom: 880
25	v.	
	LFG NATIONAL CAPITAL, LLC, LAWFINANCE GROUP, INC., and	
	LFG SERVICING, LLC Counterdefendants.	
28	Countercondants.	

[PROPOSED] ORDER RE: MOTION TO DISMISS COUNTERCLAIMS PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P 12(b)(6)

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Upon reviewing the papers filed by Plaintiff and Counterdefendant LFG NATIONAL CAPITAL, LLC ("LFG National") and Counterdefendants LAWFINANCE GROUP, INC. ("LawFinance") and LFG SERVICING, LLC ("LFG Servicing"), in support of their Motion to Dismiss the Counterclaims Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) (the "Motion"), including the Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the Request for Judicial Notice with the exhibits thereto, and all pleadings and proceedings heretofore had herein, the Court HEREBY FINDS and ORDERS as follows:

Counterclaimant has failed to state a cause of action for which relief can be granted pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6). Counterclaimant has failed to state a claim for breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, as the actions alleged to have been taken by LFG National were expressly permitted by the Cost Loan and Security Agreement. See Carma Developers (Cal.) Inc. v. Marathon Dev. Cal., Inc., 2 Cal. 4th 342, 374, 826 P.2d 710, 728 (1992); Price v. Wells Fargo Bank, 213 Cal. App. 3d 465, 479, 261 Cal. Rptr. 735, 742 (1989). Further, Counterclaimant has failed to plead that any contractual relations were, in fact, damaged.

Counterclaimant has failed to state a claim for interference with contractual relations, as the actions alleged to have been taken by LFG National and LFG Servicing were expressly permitted by the Cost Loan and Security Agreement. See Computer Place, Inc. v. Hewlett-Packard Co., 607 F. Supp. 822, 835 (N.D. Cal. 1984); see also Shaklee U.S. Inc. v. Giddens, 1991 WL 90003, at *3 (9th Cir. 1991).

Counterclaimant has failed to state a claim for a violation of Florida usury law. Florida Statute § 687.03(1) and 687.071(3) provide that the usury rate for loans in excess of \$500,000 is 25%. See also Oregrund Ltd. P'ship v. Sheive, 873 So. 2d 451, 456 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 2004). Further, Florida usury law is not applicable, as the Cost Loan and Security Agreement states that California law is the applicable law and the contract is not alleged to have been made in Florida. See Ury v. Jewelers Acceptance Corp., 227 Cal. App. 2d 11, 21-22 (1964).

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Counterclaimant has failed to state a claim for a violation of California usury law. The Cost Loan and Security Agreement was exempt from California usury laws when made pursuant to Section 22002 of the California Financial Code, because the original lender, LawFinance, was a duly licensed California finance lender. The Cost Loan and Security Agreement did not become usurious through any subsequent assignment or amendment. See Strike v. Trans-West Disc. Corp., 92 Cal. App. 3d 735, 745, 155 Cal. Rptr. 132, 139 (1979); Sw. Concrete Prods. v. Gosh Constr. Corp., 51 Cal. 3d 701, 706, 798 P.2d 1247, 1250 (1990); Bobby D Assocs. v. McDonald, 2004 WL 831179 (Cal. App. Apr. 19, 2004).

Finally, counterclaimant has failed to state a claim of Unfair Business Practices under California law. In order to plead a claim pursuant to Cal. Business & Professions Code §17200 based on "unfair" behavior, a party must allege that the actual conduct was "unfair," in addition to pleading that the harm caused by the unfair conduct outweighs any potential benefit of the conduct. See Motors, Inc. v. Times Mirror Co., 102 Cal. App. 3d 735, 740, 162 Cal. Rptr. 543, 546 (1980). To plead a Section 17200 claim that is premised on "unlawful" activity, a party must allege facts sufficient to show a violation of law because "without supporting facts demonstrating the illegality of a rule or regulation, an allegation that it is in violation of a specific statute is purely conclusionary and insufficient to withstand demurrer." See People v. McKale, 25 Cal. 3d 626, 635, 602 P.2d 731, 736 (1979). Regarding claims based on "fraudulent" business practices or false advertising, a party must plead that the defendant engaged in a business practice and "members of the public are likely to be deceived." See Comm. on Children's Television, Inc. v. Gen. Foods Corp., 35 Cal. 3d 197, 214, 673 P.2d 660, 668-71 (1983). The Counterclaims fail to allege that any false or misleading statement was made, that the harm caused by any conduct outweighed the potential benefit of the conduct, or that any of the activity in question was "unlawful."

CHADBOURNE & PARKE LLP	350 South Grand Avenue, 32nd Floor	Los Angeles, CA 90071 (213) 892-1000

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT Plaintiff and Counterdefendants' Motion to			
Dismiss the Counterclaims is GRANTED, and the Counterclaims are hereby			
DISMISSED WITH P	PREJUDICE.		
Dated:	Honoughlo Dhilin C. Cutionno		
	Honorable Philip S. Gutierrez Judge of the United States District Court for the Central District of California		
	Central District of Camornia		
	4		